

Cervical cancer, a disease of poverty: Mortality differences between urban and rural areas in Mexico*

Lina Sofía Palacio-Mejía[§]
Gudelia Rangel-Gómez
Mauricio Hernández-Avila[©]
Eduardo Lazcano-Ponce^a

Palavras-chave: câncer cervical; pobreza; mortalidade; tendências; urbano; rural; México

Resumo

Objetivo. Analizar las tasas de mortalidad por cáncer cervicouterino en las poblaciones urbanas y rurales de las regiones y entidades federativas de México, y su relación con factores relacionados con la pobreza, durante el periodo de 1990 a 2000. **Material y métodos.** Se analizaron las bases de datos de población del Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática, las estimaciones de población del Consejo Nacional de Población para el periodo de 1990 a 2000 y las Estadísticas Vitales de Mortalidad registradas por la Secretaría de Salud y el Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática. Estos datos fueron analizados para obtener tendencias de mortalidad, y se obtuvieron variaciones regionales para el mismo periodo usando un modelo de regresión de Poisson, de acuerdo con la localidad (urbano-rural). **Resultados.** Para el periodo de 1990 a 2000 se reportaron oficialmente un total 48 761 defunciones por cáncer cervicouterino en México, iniciado en 1990 con 4 280 muertes en el año y terminado con 4 620 en el 2000, lo que representa en promedio 12 mujeres fallecidas cada 24 horas, con un crecimiento promedio anual de los casos absolutos de mortalidad por cáncer cervicouterino de 0.76%. Las mujeres que viven en el área rural tienen 3.07 veces mayor riesgo de mortalidad por este cáncer, en comparación con las mujeres residentes en el área urbana. Comparando las tasas de mortalidad por cáncer cervicouterino de las entidades federativas respecto al Distrito Federal se encontró un mayor riesgo en estados con menor desarrollo económico y social, como Chiapas, con un RR de 10.99 y Nayarit, con un RR de 10.5. Se observó que los riesgos de mortalidad en las entidades con mayor predominio rural aumentan considerablemente respecto al Distrito Federal, el cual posee el menor porcentaje de población rural en el país. **Conclusiones.** Los resultados muestran que la mortalidad por cáncer cervicouterino se encuentra relacionada con los factores presentes en la pobreza como son la falta de escolaridad, el desempleo, el bajo nivel socioeconómico, la residencia en áreas rurales y la falta de acceso efectivo a los servicios de salud. En México, este cáncer es un problema de género y equidad, por lo que debe impulsarse la eliminación de disparidades regionales en la detección de cáncer.

* Trabalho apresentado no I Congresso da Associação Latino Americana de População, ALAP, realizado em Caxambú – MG – Brasil, de 18-20 de Setembro de 2004.

§ Colegio de la Frontera Norte. Tijuana, Baja California, México.

© Colegio de la Frontera Norte. Tijuana, Baja California, México.

¶ Centro de Investigación en Salud Poblacional, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México.

▲ Centro de Investigación en Salud Poblacional, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México.

Cervical cancer, a disease of poverty: Mortality differences between urban and rural areas in Mexico*

Lina Sofía Palacio-Mejía[§]
Gudelia Rangel-Gómez
Mauricio Hernández-Avila[©]
Eduardo Lazcano-Ponce^a

Introducción

Poverty is the lack of minimum opportunities necessary for holistic human development of individuals, due to insufficient resources and lack of effective rights to take advantage of and exploit skills or abilities. That is to say, poverty constitutes an extreme form of exclusion of individuals and their families from the productive process, social integration, and access to diverse goods, services and opportunities.

In view of this definition, and based on diverse evidence provided by a variety of studies, cervical cancer can be considered a disease of poverty. Mortality rates are higher in low socio-economic level population groups.¹ High incidence rates and mortality due to cervical cancer mainly affect women living in poor countries, which frequently have deficient early detection programs for cancer.² Cervical cancer incidence rates can be up to 15 times higher in poor countries, as compared to industrialized countries.³ Poor Latin-American women who have immigrated to the United States in search of work have higher cervical cancer incidence and mortality rates than white and Afro-American women in the U.S. do.⁴

Factors such as illiteracy⁵ and multiparity (multiple births)⁶ are associated in a direct, proportional manner to cervical cancer incidence, and these factors predominate in marginalized areas in poor countries. In geographic regions with high cervical cancer incidence and mortality rates, there are areas of endemic human papilloma virus infection (HPV), that produces high prevalence of infection in women⁷ and men.⁸ The subtypes of HPV that are prevalent in countries with high mortality from cervical cancer (Asian, Latin-American and African), are probably higher risk in that they have higher oncogenic power than the European⁹ type.

Place of residence is a social variable, and a higher cervical cancer mortality risk in relation to residency reflects, at a great extent, health inequalities. For example, there are huge

* Trabalho apresentado no I Congresso da Associação Latino Americana de População, ALAP, realizado em Caxambú – MG – Brasil, de 18-20 de Setembro de 2004.

§ Colegio de la Frontera Norte. Tijuana, Baja California, México.

♦ Colegio de la Frontera Norte. Tijuana, Baja California, México.

▼ Centro de Investigación en Salud Poblacional, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México.

▲ Centro de Investigación en Salud Poblacional, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México.

differences between urban and rural areas in terms of coverage of cervical cancer early detection programs¹⁰ and limited access to health services¹¹ (the latter of which is the principle factor defining use of cervical cancer screening program in Mexico);¹² to a great extent, these factors constitute an indicator of treatment opportunity.¹³ In sum, marginalization and poverty are two socio-economic variables that increase cervical cancer mortality risk.

Given this context, in this article we seek to explore the urban-rural differences in cervical cancer mortality rates in Mexico, a country where women are at very high risk for cervical cancer. Epidemiological data for Mexico is presented in order to evaluate the relation between cervical cancer mortality risk and type of residency and regional socio-economic development.

Material and Methods

Study design

The correlation studies used in this article are the equivalent of geographic analysis of the determinants of mortality risk. In fact, this ecologic procedure is frequently used with population groups that are clearly defined, and represents the most straightforward approach for explaining geographic heterogeneity, while adjusting for socio-demographic variables in a multivariate model.¹⁴ The unit of analysis is therefore the group, as corresponds to an ecologic study design.

Unit of observation

The dependent variable was the cervical cancer mortality rate during the study period, and included three basic units of observation: the state (Mexico has 32 states), municipalities (administrative divisions within states) and urban-rural groupings (specific communities). Cervical cancer mortality in Mexico was analyzed for the 1990-2000 period, using official information obtained from the National Institute for Statistics, Geography and Information database (INEGI, the institute's initials in Spanish). INEGI registers reported cases of cancer with a specific reference code for cervical cancer, considered of primary origin and specific location; for the years 1990-1997, the reference code was cause 180 according to the 9th International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) and for years 1998-2000 it was cause C53 according to ICD-10.¹⁵ The denominator represents the standardized population during the study period estimated by the INEGI population and housing census and National Population Council (Conapo) estimates, in addition to preparation of population projections at the municipal level, for women of all age groups during the 1990-2000 time period.

Corrections of misclassification

Under the assumption that most cancers originally classified as unspecified tumors of the genital tract, under codes 182, ICD-9 and C54, ICD-10, were most likely cervical cancer cases, given the frequency with which they were recorded, the estimate was corrected and these were included in the numerator.

Evaluation of mortality trends by age groups

We carried out a mortality study on cervical cancer for the period covering 1990-2000, in order to evaluate cervical cancer mortality trends throughout the nineties and define the status of cervical cancer mortality at the beginning of the twenty-first century in Mexico. The dependent variable was cervical cancer mortality rates and the independent variable was the year of observation. In order to explain the occurrences of the event over time, a robust linear

regression model was developed, under the hypothesis that beta is different from zero. Since cervical cancer mortality rates are closely related to increasing age, the rates were determined by five-year age groups.

Regional analysis on cervical cancer mortality in Mexico

For the regional analysis on cervical cancer mortality in Mexico, the years 1990, 1995 and 2000 were taken as the points of analysis, to determine the proportion of cervical cancer mortality by five-year groups in urban and rural communities from the five geographic regions and 32 states in Mexico. The Central region and the capital (Mexico City, also considered a state) were taken as reference categories, given that they have the highest socioeconomic development in the country, as well as the largest concentration of health services.

Construction of the urban-rural variable

The urban and rural variable was constructed based on a review of theory and taking into account the possibility of statistical variance in the analysis of the data base information. The concept of community concentration was employed, evaluating the statistical usefulness of the size of the population in municipalities with 2 500, 5 000, 10 000 and 15 000 inhabitants (cut-off points defined by Conapo and the Ministry of Health). In this way, we created groups that would be heterogeneous in relation to each other and also internally homogeneous. These differences were observed throughout construction of cervical cancer mortality rates for 1990 to 2000, according to the different population cut-off points.

In this context, we determined that the population cut-off point that best differentiates urban and rural areas in terms of cervical cancer mortality are the municipalities with less than 2 500 inhabitants. However, modern Mexico is a mainly urban country, which means the indicator would be very sensitive to changes in the annual number of cases. Therefore, for our analysis we decided to define a rural community as having less than 15 000 inhabitants and urban as communities with 15 000 inhabitants or more.

Evaluation of risk of cervical cancer mortality by state

To estimate the risk of cervical cancer mortality in the states in Mexico, we constructed a Poisson multiplicative model which adjusted for age and place of residence (urban-rural), taking Mexico City's mortality rates as a reference (these rates constitute the lowest standardized mortality at the national level).

The Poisson ¹⁶ probability model was used for testing a hypothesis, to evaluate the risk of cervical cancer mortality as an unusual event in a continuous space. For this model the dependent variable was the number of deaths due to cervical cancer, and the group of independent variables were: the year of observation, age group, region, state, and the urban-rural population. In order to assess the risk of mortality from cervical cancer in the female population, according to place of residence, the following equation was used.

$$\text{Log}(E(y)) = b_0 + b_1\text{year} + b_2\text{age} + b_3\text{region} + b_4\text{state} + b_5\text{urban, rural}$$

In this way, we carried out the analysis with a socio-demographic and health approach, which allowed more integrated view of cervical cancer mortality.

Results

Cervical cancer mortality rates in Mexico, 1990-2000

For the 1990-2000 period, a total of 48 761 cervical cancer deaths were recorded nation-wide in Mexico; the yearly rate starting out in 1990 with 4 280 deaths at the end of the year, and reached 4 620 deaths by year 2000. This translates into an average of 12 women dying every 24 hours from cervical cancer throughout the country, with a 0.76% yearly average increase in cases of cervical cancer mortality. If we take into account the mortality data that was probably misclassified as non-specified uterine cancer, reclassifying these as cervical cancer cases, there are 6,806 additional deaths in the study period, for a yearly average of 620 potentially misclassified deaths (Table I). A trend towards an increase in the total female population in Mexico was observed during the study period, with a growth rate of 2.06% on average, which is higher than the increase in deaths due to cervical cancer, at 0.76%. This reflects that deaths due to cervical cancer did not decrease during the study period, even though the likelihood of dying from this disease, in crude mortality rates, does show a slight decrease. This is due to the static or constant behavior of the likelihood of dying from cervical cancer, given the small decrease that can be observed in rates during an eleven year study period.

Socio-demographic characteristics of women who died of cervical cancer in Mexico

One of the socio-demographic features studied in order to try to explain the differences in mortality between urban and rural populations is the number of years of formal education that the women who died of cervical cancer had received. In this regard, 44% of women who died of cervical cancer in rural communities during the year 2000, had no formal education (this surpasses the national average, which is 33.7%), while 94% of the women had an elementary school education or less. This indicates a very low formal education level, with an average of only one year of formal education among women who died of cervical cancer in rural areas (Table II). The formal education level of the women who died of cervical cancer in urban areas was higher than in rural areas. Among women residing in urban areas, 25% had no formal education at all, but 94% had a junior high school education or less. Comparing women who died of cervical cancer, those with urban residence had an average of three more years of formal education than those who had lived in rural areas. The state of Oaxaca is of special interest because it has the highest proportion of women who died of cervical cancer who had no formal education at all (58.3%), while 91% had no formal employment. This state had the highest percentage of women without social security coverage (which includes access to the social security health care system), at 65.7%. These data indicate the high level of exclusion or marginalization in this state in terms of social development and women's quality life, characteristics which in turn could contribute to a growing trend in cervical cancer deaths in the future.

During the 1990-2000 period, at the time of their death due to cervical cancer, 44% of women living in rural areas and 38% of women with urban residency did not have social security coverage. In relation to this, 40% of women who lived in rural areas and died of cervical cancer between 1990-2000 had social security coverage provided by the Mexican Institute for Social Security (IMSS), and 6% had coverage provided by Social Security for Public Employees (ISSSTE). In comparison, 44% of women in urban areas who died of the disease had IMSS social security coverage and 7% had ISSSTE coverage.

Regional distribution of cervical cancer mortality in Mexico

The crude rates of cervical cancer mortality showed great heterogeneity in different regions of the country. The lowest rate was found in the Central region of the country with 8.31 deaths per 100 000 women and the highest rate in the South-eastern region with 11.77 deaths per 100 000 women. Cervical cancer mortality rate in the North-central region were 10.13 deaths per 100 000, quite similar to the rate in the Southern region, which was 10.10 deaths per 100 000 women. Finally, the Northern region was closest to the national average, with 9.41 deaths per every 100 000 women during the 1990-2000 period (Figure 1).

In Mexico, the percentage of the population in each region living in communities with over 15 000 inhabitants is as follows: 97% of the population in the Central region live in urban areas (thus defined), followed by 95% in the Northern region, and 93% of the population in the Southeastern region, with the lowest rates of urban residence in the Southern region at 79%. The states with larger amounts of rural population are Oaxaca (22.47%), Chiapas (28.6%), Hidalgo (30.6%), Zacatecas (33.6%), Tabasco (34.2%) and Guerrero (39%).

In general, states in the Northern region have a higher concentration of urban residency, while states in the Southern, Southeastern, Central and Central-northern regions have a higher concentration of rural population. In the Central and Central-northern regions, the mortality rates in the urban and rural populations were quite similar, being only slightly higher in urban areas. In the Northern region of Mexico, the geographical area with the highest socio-economic development after Mexico City, the cervical cancer mortality rate was higher among women living in urban areas (8.63) compared to rural (5.75), which can be explained by the high concentration of population in this region. In contrast, the Southern region, in spite of the fact that it has a medium-level population concentration, registered a higher cervical cancer mortality rate in rural areas (15.39) than in the urban zones (10.54), which could explain the increase in mortality rates that is occurring in this region.

Cervical cancer mortality risk in different regions in Mexico

The cervical cancer mortality rates by state in Mexico in the year 2000 are very heterogeneous, in that they range from lower rates of 5.71 deaths per 100 000 women in the state of Zacatecas, to very high mortality rates of 16.66 deaths per 100 000 women in the state of Nayarit. This implies a very high variability (10.95) between these two states, which indicates the varying distribution of the risk of dying from cervical cancer in different areas of Mexico.

In this context, the average cervical cancer mortality rate in Mexico was 9.20 deaths per 100 000 women in the year 2000. The rate that remained around 9.5 during the nineties, without evidence of significant differences in mortality trends. Also, during the study period 18 states were above the national median mortality trend and 14 states were below it. Therefore, the states with the highest cervical cancer mortality rates for the year 2000 are: Nayarit with 16.66 deaths per 100 000 women, Morelos with 15.44, Yucatán with 14.39, Veracruz with 11.89 and Campeche with 11.42. These states share something in common: a high percentage of women who died of cervical cancer who did not have any formal education, ranging from 31.9% in Nayarit to 42.5% in Veracruz.

Given these results, it is surprising that Mexico City, with 8.46 deaths per 100 000 women, does not represent the lowest rate of cervical cancer in the country, probably due to the high concentration of health resources in this city. This could indicate an increased registry of cervical cancer deaths, given that patients with cancer are transferred to specialty hospitals

for treatment and care, which for the most part are located in the capital and therefore more women tend to die of cancer in Mexico City because they are transferred to hospitals there.

Seven states showed an increase in cervical cancer mortality rates during 1990-2000, of which two are located in the Southern region. These two southern states, Chiapas and Guerrero, were also the states with the highest rate of women who died of cervical cancer who did not have a formal education (51.7% and 50.9%, respectively), as well as the highest rates of non-coverage with social security health care services (59.5% and 47.7%, respectively). In order to evaluate cervical cancer mortality risk at a regional level, we built a Poisson regression model, taking the Central region as the comparison region, since it was found to be the region where women were at the lowest risk of dying from cervical cancer. Likewise, the 15-19 year-old age group, was taken as the comparison age group because at this age women are at the lowest risk of dying from this disease. The model had a good capacity for explanation of differences, with a pseudo r^2 of 70.44%, and showed a constant, increasing cervical cancer mortality trend for the year 2000, starting at 20-24 year-olds with one ($\beta=1.34$, with a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 1.17-1.52) up to 75 and more ($\beta=3.64$, 95% CI 3.49-3.79). Also, the greatest risk from dying of cervical cancer was among women over the age of 65 residing in rural areas (Figure 2). Comparison between regions showed varying risk of death from cervical cancer in different regions, when compared with the Central region. The region with the lowest risk is the Northern region with a risk of 1.34, (95% CI 1.22-1.49), followed by the Central-northern region with a risk of 1.56, (95% CI 1.45-1.69) while the regions with higher risks were the Southern region at 2.03 (95% CI 1.82-2.25) and the South-eastern region at 2.04 (95% CI 1.78-2.33), all compared to the Central region in the year 2000. In this respect, we observed higher rates of cervical cancer mortality in the states with a higher rural concentration (Figure 3).

Risk of mortality from cervical cancer by state

In order to compare cervical cancer mortality rates by state and age, we used the Poisson regression model which took Mexico City and the 15-19 year-old age group as references. This model showed that the states with the greatest relative risk are Morelos with 3.22 (95% CI 2.62-3.3), Chiapas with 3.16 (95% CI 2.67-3.74) and Nayarit with 3.14 (95% CI 2.46-3.99). Also, the states of Morelos, Yucatán and Nayarit were the states with the highest mortality rates for both the 1980-1990 period and the 1990-2000 period. The model showed that in the ten states with the greatest risk of cervical cancer mortality, this risk increased over time with relation to Mexico City. It should be noted that the difference between other states and the country's capital is growing, since in the 1990-2000 period no state had a mortality risk that was lower than that of Mexico City's.

Risk of mortality from cervical cancer by state, adjusted by place of residency (urban-rural)

We developed the Poisson regression model to determine the risk of dying from cervical cancer in rural and urban areas, by state. According to this model, women who lived in a rural area had a 3.07 times greater mortality rate than women in urban areas, when comparing by state and age group. Comparing cervical cancer mortality rates between states, with Mexico City as the reference, we found the highest risk in Chiapas, where the risk was 10.99 times that of the capital city, followed by Nayarit which was 10.5 times greater (95% CI 4.84-23.17) and Hidalgo and Guerrero (which had a greater risk among rural population as compared to urban residency by groups of age) with a risk of 9.98 (95% CI 4.99-19.92) and 9.87 (95% CI 5.00-19.50), respectively (Table III). In states where rural residence predominated

we found that mortality risks increased considerably as compared to Mexico City, which has the lowest percentage of rural population in the country.

Regarding the association between cervical cancer mortality and urban or rural residency, preliminary observation indicates that if such an association exists, it is not linear, as states that are prominently rural do not have the highest mortality rates. Likewise, the lowest mortality rates are not registered in the states with a higher percentage of urban areas, except in the state of Nuevo León. For example, the state of Zacatecas has a low percentage of urban areas and a low cervical cancer rate (5.71), while the state of Morelos has a high mortality rate (16.66) in spite of a moderate percentage of urbanization and being located in the Central region.

Cervical cancer mortality trends in four geographic regions in Mexico, 1990-2000 period

In the Northern and Central-northern regions of the country there is a clear decrease in cervical cancer mortality during the study period; although the lowest mortality rates are registered in Mexico City, this trend during the 10 year study period was stable. Meanwhile, the Southern region maintained the highest cervical cancer rates and showed no signs of a decrease of any kind (Figure 4).

Cervical cancer mortality trends in Mexico, 1980-2000 period

Based on a previous analysis of trends in the cervical cancer mortality rate for 1980-1990 in Mexico, and comparison with the mortality rates for 1990-2000, the results of which are presented in detail here, we found that in the last 20 years in Mexico, cervical cancer mortality rates were highest between 1985 and 1995. In 1989 the highest mortality rate was recorded for the period, with 16.64 deaths per 100 000 women over 15 years old.¹⁷ Rates began to decrease that year, and by the beginning of the twenty-first century had reached a rate almost equal to that recorded at the beginning of the eighties, of 12.84 deaths per 100 000 women (Figure 5). Discussion In this study, using a multiple probabilistic model we found that in Mexico, cervical cancer mortality risk is three times higher in rural areas, as compared to urban zones, and women living in states where socio-economic development is lower have the highest mortality risks, as compared with women living in Mexico City. This indicates a huge problem in terms of unequal levels of effectiveness of the national cervical cancer prevention program, which appears to be especially problematic in the more marginalized areas.

Cervical cancer: A problem linked to inequality

A high number of cancer deaths occur in minority ethnic groups of the United States, especially among Afro-American women, who have a 33% higher risk of dying of cancer when compared to white women.¹⁸ Thus, both race and poverty have been strongly associated to high mortality due to cancer.¹⁹ This association has been attributed to poor access to medical care, not belonging to a health-care system and receiving diagnosis or treatment when the disease is in advanced stages.²⁰ In Mexico, an estimated ten million persons do not have access to health care services, predominantly in rural areas in the southern part of the country; in addition, poverty is more prevalent in rural areas and in the south.

Poverty is a complex issue which includes elements such as unemployment and low levels of formal education. The high rates of preventable cancer found in groups living in poverty must

be understood in the context of this complexity. Many factors determine socio-economic status, and in turn these are associated with cervical cancer risk. For example, both poverty and cervical cancer risk can be associated with the huge social differences that exist between urban and rural areas in Mexico. The highest percentage of Mexican women without education or a low level of formal education is found in rural areas; this is an important socio-economic difference often linked to place of residence (urban or rural). In Mexico at the national level, nine out of ten girls from 6 to 14 years old attend school, but in rural areas only three out of every four do. Also, 30% of women over 15 years old who live in populations of less than 2 500 inhabitants are illiterate.²¹ Low levels of formal education in women can be related to poverty and low socio-economic level, or to gender inequality, since these low levels can be due to lack of access to education (not enough schools or leaving school in order to work) or to the belief that formal education is not a priority for girls and women.

Low levels of formal education constitute a risk factor for cervical cancer, probably because this impedes women's access to the information related to health promotion and disease prevention methods, such as early detection of cervical cancer through the Papanicolaou test and treatment when the disease is in the early stages.²² In relation to this, if a health need is not recognized, the impulse towards satisfying this need is therefore nonexistent, and as a result the health care seeking process is not initiated. As a consequence, the woman in question will not use an early detection program for cervical cancer.

Women living in rural areas in Mexico

In rural areas, women's health problems are often linked to nutritional deficiencies, excessive workload and frequent pregnancies coupled with inadequate prenatal and birth care. Life expectancy in Mexican women at birth is over 3.5 years shorter in states which are more rural and have less socio-economic development (Oaxaca, Chiapas and Puebla), as compared to more urbanized northern states in Mexico (Baja California South and Nuevo Leon) and Mexico City. In Mexico, the infant mortality rate in rural areas is estimated at 46 per one thousand live births; this is almost 60% higher than in urban areas (even worse, in the indigenous population infant mortality reaches 56 per one thousand live births). Also, at the beginning of the nineteen-nineties, among women living in rural areas fertility was estimated at 4.7 children per woman (and 5.7 children in indigenous women), which is two more children than among women living in urban areas. In relation to this, a recent study reported that one of the main co-factors of cervical cancer is a history of four or more live births.²³ The average age of sexual debut in rural areas is a year younger than the average age among women in urban areas (14.5 years-old in rural areas vs. 15.5 years-old in urban areas); this is a risk factor for infection of human papilloma virus, which causes cervical cancer.²⁴

Use of preventive programs in marginalized areas

Some studies have found an association between socio-economic level and the number of Papanicolaou tests (Pap smears, for cervical cancer detection), and have argued that this could explain certain variations in cervical cancer mortality risk among different populations. Previous reports have shown that participation in efficient detection programs is crucial to secondary prevention of cervical cancer, regardless of the frequency with which screening tests (Pap smears) are performed. If each participating woman has a lifetime history of 2-4 Papanicolaou tests, a detection program that provides at least one test every ten years (but with total population coverage) can reduce cervical cancer incidence by between 40% and 60%.²⁵ In Mexico, a previous population-based study comparing two areas, one urban and one rural, indicated there is low coverage of the early detection program for cervical cancer in

marginalized areas. This study also showed that 40% of women at risk in the rural area had not had even one Papanicolaou test, while over 75% of women living in Mexico City had received one or more Pap tests.²⁶ These results can also be observed in developed countries; for example, in France a study found low coverage of the early detection program for cervical cancer among women of low socio-economic level, lower levels of formal education, lower incomes, and with deficient use of health services.²⁷

Low-cost alternatives for early detection of cervical cancer

Visual inspection is a low-cost technique for cervical cancer detection that has been thoroughly studied in countries with limited infrastructure for the development of an organized early detection program for cervical cancer. This strategy has been shown to have low sensitivity and specificity to detect pre-cancerous lesions of the uterine cervix. However, at present several initiatives are being undertaken with regards to this low-cost cervical cancer detection technique. For example, a transversal study is underway to evaluate the usefulness of visual inspection; preliminary results suggest a similar sensitivity to conventional strategies (Papanicolaou), but with a lower specificity.²⁸ Given this finding, in regions in Mexico lacking the physical infrastructure or human resources necessary to establish an organized program, as is the case in many rural areas, the possible use of this detection strategy should be analyzed.

High-cost alternatives for detection of pre-cancerous cervical lesions

Human papilloma virus (HPV) detection could potentially be used as a test for early detection of cervical cancer, as a secondary measure for cancer prevention.²⁹ Nevertheless, in many cases detection of the virus would occur when cancer was not yet present or was in very early stages; therefore, HPV detection would only be beneficial if used to prevent cervical cancer through early detection of asymptomatic precursor lesions. Many of HPV tests use a system that amplifies many genotypes and are based on a series of specific tests. At present, there are three systems available, including MY09/11, GP5+/6+, and hybrid capture type II. These three methods have high sensitivity and specificity for detection of oncogenic viruses and could potentially be automatized. Current technological developments have improved specificity without substantially reducing sensitivity. This could have important public health ramifications, because it has been shown that detection of HPV DNA in the absence of cytological abnormalities can indicate the presence of high grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasms (CIN), which can be overlooked (i.e., go diagnosed) when using cytology.³⁰ The relevant studies suggest that adding an HPV test, in addition to the Papanicolaou, within a program aimed at screening for cervical cancer could increase the identification of pre-cancerous lesions of the cervix by between 50 and 100%.

Also, the existence of a self-collected vaginal specimen (the sample is collected by the woman herself, using a swab) for HPV testing means it would be possible to screen women who do not or cannot use health services provided in traditional (clinic or hospital-based) settings, as well as possibly being more acceptable to women, and therefore this option should be evaluated in rural areas (as well as other marginalized populations, especially those living in poverty).³¹ Future studies and prediction models are necessary to evaluate the usefulness and the cost-effectiveness of HPV test as a screening strategy and how it could be used in conjunction with other diagnosis methodologies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, cervical cancer is a disease that is closely related to poverty and a health issue with links to gender inequality. It is also a health problem which involves regional inequalities in terms of differences in economic and social development and variability of health care infrastructure and human resources who provide primary care services. Therefore, early detection programs for cervical cancer should increasingly target both rural areas and marginalized urban sectors, given that this disease is, unfortunately, linked to poverty. If early detection efforts are efficient and effective in these areas, a large proportion of the health, social and economic impact of cervical cancer can be eliminated.

References

1. Sloggett A, Joshi H. Higher mortality in deprived areas: Community or personal disadvantage? *BMJ* 1994;309:1470-1474.
2. Soe MN. Screening for cervical cancer in developing countries. *BMJ* 1992;304:534-535.
3. Parkin M, Pisani P, Ferlay J. Estimates of the worldwide incidence of 25 major cancers in 1990. *Int J Cancer* 1999; 80:827-841.
4. Shavers VL, Brown M. Racial and ethnic disparities in the receipt of cancer treatment. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2002;94(5):334-357.
5. Drain P, Colmes K, Highes J, Koutsky L. Determinants of cervical cancer rates in developing countries. *Int J Cancer* 2002;100:199-205.
6. Muñoz N, Franceschi S, Bosetti C, Moreno V, Herrero R, Smith J *et al*. Role of parity and human papillomavirus in cervical cancer: The IARC multicentric case-control study. *Lancet* 2002;359:1093-1101.
7. Bosch X, Muñoz N, De Sanjose S, Guerrero E, Chaffari AM, Kaldor J *et al*. Importance of human papillomavirus endemicity in the incident of cervical cancer: An extension of the hypothesis on sexual behavior. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1994;3:375-379.
8. Lazcano-Ponce E, Herrero R, Muñoz N, Hernández-Avila M, Salmerón J, Leyva A *et al*. High prevalence of human papillomavirus infection in Mexican males. Comparative study of penile-urethral swabs and urine samples. *Sex Transm Dis* 2001;28(5):277-280.
9. Berumen J, Ordóñez RM, Lazcano-Ponce E, Salmerón J, Galván SC, Estrada RA *et al*, Madrigal de la Campa A. Asian American variants of human papillomavirus 16 and risk for cervical cancer: a case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001;93:1325-1330.
10. Lazcano-Ponce E, Nájera P, Buiatti E, Alonso P, Kuri P, Cantoral L *et al*. The cervical cancer screening program in Mexico. Problems with access and coverage. *Cancer Causes Control* 1997;8:698-704.
11. Lazcano-Ponce E, Buiatti E, Nájera P, Alonso P, Hernández M. Quality evaluation of Mexican National Program for Early Cervical Cancer Detection and proposals for a new approach. *Cancer Causes Control* 1998;9:241-251.
12. Lazcano-Ponce EC, Moss S, Cruz-Valdez A, Alonso-de Ruiz P, Caseres Queralt S, Martínez-León CJ *et al*. Factores que determinan la participación en el tamizaje de cáncer cervical en el estado de Morelos. *Salud Publica Mex* 1999;41(4):278-285.
13. Schneider E. Measuring mortality outcomes to improve health care. Rational use of ratings and rankings. *Med Care* 2002;40(1):1-3.
14. Susser M. The logic in ecological: II. The logic of design. *Am J Public Health* 1994;84:830-835.

15. Organización Panamericana de la Salud. Clasificación Internacional de Enfermedades. Manual de clasificación y estadística internacional de enfermedades, traumatismos y causas de defunción. Revisión 1975. Washington, DC: OPS, Volumen I, publicación científica No. 353.
16. Frome EL, Checkoway H. Use of Poisson regression models in estimating incidence rates and ratios. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;121:309.
17. Lazcano-Ponce E, Rascón-Pacheco R, Lozano-Ascencio R, Velasco E. Mortality from carcinoma of the uterine cervix in Mexico: Impact of screening 1980-1990. *Acta Cytol* 1996;40(3):506-512.
18. Ries LA, Eisner MP, Kosary CL, Hankey BF, Millar BA, Clegg L *et al.* Ed. SEER cancer statistics review, 1973-1998. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute, 2001.
19. Freeman H. Race, poverty and cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991;83:526-527.
20. Coughlin S, Thompson T, Seeff L, Richards T, Stallings F. Breast, cervical, and colorectal carcinoma screening in a demographically defined region of the Southern U.S. *Cancer* 2002;95(10):2211-2222.
21. Estados Unidos Mexicanos, Programa Nacional de la Mujer 1995-2000. Alianza para la Igualdad. México, DF: Poder Ejecutivo Federal, 1-109.
22. Lazcano-Ponce EC, Castro R, Allen B, Nájera P, Alonso-de Ruiz P, Hernández-Avila M. Barriers to early detection of cervical uterine cancer in Mexico. *J Women's Health* 1999;8(3):399-408.
23. Hildesheim A, Herrero R, Castle PE, Wacholder S, Bratti MC, Sherman ME *et al.* HPV Co-factors related to the development of cervical cancer: Results from a population-based study in Costa Rica. *Br J Cancer* 2001 May 4;84(9):1219-1226.
24. Kjellberg L, Hallmans G, Ahren AM, Johansson R, Bergman F, Wadell G *et al.* Smoking, diet, pregnancy and oral contraceptive use as risk factors for cervical intra-epithelial neoplasia in relation to human papillomavirus infection. *Br J Cancer* 2000;82:1332-1338.
25. Signan N. Socioeconomic status and cancer screening. In: Kogevinas M, Pearce N, Susser M, Boffetta P, eds. *Social Inequalities in Cancer*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer 1997:369-376.
26. Lazcano-Ponce EC, Moss S, Alonso-de Ruiz P, Salmerón-Castro J, Hernández-Avila M. Cervical cancer screening in developing countries: Why is it ineffective? The case of Mexico. *Arch Med Res* 1999;30(3):240-250.
27. Challier B, Meslans Y, Viel JF. Deprived areas and attendance to screening of cervix uteri cancer in a French region. *Cancer Causes Control* 2000;11:157-162.
28. Sankaranarayanan R, Wesley R, Somanathan T, Dhakad N, Shyamala-Kumary B, Amman S *et al.* Visual inspection of uterine cervix after the application of acetic acid in the detection of cervical carcinoma and its precursors. *Cancer* 1998 nov 15; 83(10):2150-2156.
29. Cuzick J, Sasieni P, Davies P, Adams J, Normand C, Frater A *et al.* A systematic review of the role of human papillomavirus testing within a cervical screening programme. *Health Technol Assess* 1999;3(14):1-204.
30. Cuzick J, Beverley E, Ho L, Terry G, Sapper H, Mielzynska I *et al.* HPV testing in primary screening of older women. *Br J Cancer* 1999;81(3):554- 558.
31. Dzuba IG, Yunes-Díaz E, Allen B, Flores-Leonard Y, Lazcano-Ponce EC, Shah K *et al.* The acceptability of self-collected samples for HPV testing vs.the Pap test as alternatives in cervical cancer screening. *J Womens Health Gen Based Med* 2002;11(3):265-275.